


The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics in five content areas: number properties and operations; measurement; geometry; data analysis and probability; and algebra. The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Mathematics Results for Michigan

- In 2007, the average scale score for fourth-grade students in Michigan was 238. This was not significantly different from their average score in 2005 (238) and was higher than their average score in 1992 (220).¹
- Michigan's average score (238) in 2007 was not significantly different from that of the nation's public schools (239).
- Of the 52 states and other jurisdictions that participated in the 2007 fourth-grade assessment, students' average scale score in Michigan was higher than those in 10 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 16 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 25 jurisdictions.²
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 37 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (38 percent) and was greater than that in 1992 (18 percent).
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Basic* level was 80 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (79 percent) and was greater than that in 1992 (61 percent).

Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels and Average Score



^a Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 mathematics achievement levels correspond to the following scale points: Below Basic, 213 or lower; Basic, 214–248; Proficient, 249–281; Advanced, 282 or above.


Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Michigan: 2007

Reporting groups	Percent of students	Average score	Percent below Basic	Percent of students at or above		Percent Advanced
				Basic	Proficient	
Male	51	238	20	80	39	6
Female	49	237	20	80	35	4
White	71	244	12	88	44	6
Black	21	216	48	52	12	#
Hispanic	3	230	28	72	26	2
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	261	4	96	69	23
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Eligible for National School Lunch Program	38	224	35	65	20	1
Not eligible for National School Lunch Program	62	246	11	89	48	7

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2007, male students in Michigan had an average score that was not significantly different from that of female students. In 1992, there was no significant difference between the average score of male and female students.
- In 2007, Black students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 28 points. This performance gap was narrower than that of 1992 (42 points).
- In 2007, Hispanic students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 14 points. Data are not reported for Hispanic students in 1992, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2007, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, a proxy for poverty, had an average score that was lower than that of students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch by 22 points. In 1996, the average score for students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch was lower than the score of those not eligible by 24 points.
- In 2007, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 39 points. In 1992, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 43 points.

Mathematics Scores at Selected Percentiles



NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP mathematics scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

* Significantly different from 2007.

↑ Significantly higher than 2005. ↓ Significantly lower than 2005.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/narrower/wider/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Comparisons across jurisdictions and comparisons with the nation or within a jurisdiction across years may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL). The exclusion rates for SD and ELL in Michigan were 3 percent and "percentage rounds to zero" in 2007, respectively. For more information on NAEP significance testing see <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/mathematics/interpret-results.asp#statistical>.

² "Jurisdictions" refers to states and the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Education Activity schools.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free and reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed. Visit <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/> for additional results and detailed information.


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1992–2007 Mathematics Assessments.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses mathematics in five content areas: number properties and operations; measurement; geometry; data analysis and probability; and algebra. The NAEP mathematics scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Mathematics Results for Michigan

- In 2007, the average scale score for eighth-grade students in Michigan was 277. This was not significantly different from their average score in 2005 (277) and was higher than their average score in 1990 (264).¹
- Michigan's average score (277) in 2007 was lower than that of the nation's public schools (280).
- Of the 52 states and other jurisdictions that participated in the 2007 eighth-grade assessment, students' average scale score in Michigan was higher than those in 9 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 10 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 32 jurisdictions.²
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 29 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (29 percent) and was greater than that in 1990 (16 percent).
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Basic* level was 66 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (68 percent) and was greater than that in 1990 (53 percent).

Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels and Average Score



^a Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 mathematics achievement levels correspond to the following scale points: Below Basic, 261 or lower; Basic, 262–298; Proficient, 299–332; Advanced, 333 or above.


Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Michigan: 2007

Reporting groups	Percent of students	Average score	Percent below Basic	Percent of students at or above Basic	Percent Proficient	Percent Advanced
Male	52	278	32	68	30	7
Female	48	275	35	65	27	5
White	75	285	24	76	35	8
Black	18	244	72	28	5	#
Hispanic	3	259	56	44	11	#
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Eligible for National School Lunch Program	33 ↑	259	53	47	14	1
Not eligible for National School Lunch Program	67	285	24	76	36	8

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2007, male students in Michigan had an average score that was not significantly different from that of female students. In 1990, there was no significant difference between the average score of male and female students.
- In 2007, Black students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 41 points. In 1990, the average score for Black students was lower than that of White students by 39 points.
- In 2007, Hispanic students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 26 points. Data are not reported for Hispanic students in 1990, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2007, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, a proxy for poverty, had an average score that was lower than that of students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch by 26 points. In 1996, the average score for students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch was lower than the score of those not eligible by 27 points.
- In 2007, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 51 points. In 1990, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 47 points.

Mathematics Scores at Selected Percentiles



NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP mathematics scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

* Significantly different from 2007.

↑ Significantly higher than 2005. ↓ Significantly lower than 2005.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/narrower/wider/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Comparisons across jurisdictions and comparisons with the nation or within a jurisdiction across years may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL). The exclusion rates for SD and ELL in Michigan were 4 percent and "percentage rounds to zero" in 2007, respectively. For more information on NAEP significance testing see <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/mathematics/interpret-results.asp#statistical>.

² "Jurisdictions" refers to states and the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Education Activity schools.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free and reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed. Visit <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/> for additional results and detailed information.


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990–2007 Mathematics Assessments.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses reading in two content areas in grade 4: reading for literary experience and to gain information. The NAEP reading scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Reading Results for Michigan

- In 2007, the average scale score for fourth-grade students in Michigan was 220. This was not significantly different from their average score in 2005 (218) and was higher than their average score in 1992 (216).¹
- Michigan's average score (220) in 2007 was not significantly different from that of the nation's public schools (220).
- Of the 52 states and other jurisdictions that participated in the 2007 fourth-grade assessment, students' average scale score in Michigan was higher than those in 13 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 18 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 20 jurisdictions.²
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 32 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (32 percent) and was greater than that in 1992 (26 percent).
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Basic* level was 66 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (63 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 1992 (62 percent).

Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels and Average Score



^a Accommodations were not permitted for this assessment.

NOTE: The NAEP grade 4 reading achievement levels correspond to the following scale points: Below Basic, 207 or lower; Basic, 208–237; Proficient, 238–267; Advanced, 268 or above.


Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Michigan: 2007

Reporting groups	Percent of students	Average score	Percent below Basic	Percent of students at or above		Percent Advanced
				Basic	Proficient	
Male	50	216	38	62	29	6
Female	50	224	30	70	36	9
White	71	227	26	74	39	9
Black	20	197	62	38	12	2
Hispanic	4	210	44	56	19	3
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	233	19	81	44	12
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Eligible for National School Lunch Program	36	204	52	48	16	2
Not eligible for National School Lunch Program	64	229	24	76	42	11

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2007, male students in Michigan had an average score that was lower than that of female students by 8 points. In 1992, there was no significant difference between the average score of male and female students.
- In 2007, Black students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 30 points. In 1992, the average score for Black students was lower than that of White students by 35 points.
- In 2007, Hispanic students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 17 points. Data are not reported for Hispanic students in 1992, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2007, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, a proxy for poverty, had an average score that was lower than that of students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch by 26 points. In 1998, the average score for students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch was lower than the score of those not eligible by 24 points.
- In 2007, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 47 points. In 1992, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 44 points.

Reading Scores at Selected Percentiles



NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP reading scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

* Significantly different from 2007.

↑ Significantly higher than 2005. ↓ Significantly lower than 2005.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/narrower/wider/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Comparisons across jurisdictions and comparisons with the nation or within a jurisdiction across years may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL). The exclusion rates for SD and ELL in Michigan were 4 percent and "percentage rounds to zero" in 2007, respectively. For more information on NAEP significance testing see <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/reading/interpret-results.asp#statistical>.

² "Jurisdictions" refers to states and the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Education Activity schools.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free and reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed. Visit <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/> for additional results and detailed information.


SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1992–2007 Reading Assessments.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses reading in three content areas in grade 8: reading for literary experience, to gain information, and to perform a task. The NAEP reading scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Reading Results for Michigan

- In 2007, the average scale score for eighth-grade students in Michigan was 260. This was not significantly different from their average score in 2005 (261) and was lower than their average score in 2002 (265).¹
- Michigan's average score (260) in 2007 was not significantly different from that of the nation's public schools (261).
- Of the 52 states and other jurisdictions that participated in the 2007 eighth-grade assessment, students' average scale score in Michigan was higher than those in 10 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 15 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 26 jurisdictions.²
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 28 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (28 percent) and was smaller than that in 2002 (32 percent).
- The percentage of students in Michigan who performed at or above the NAEP *Basic* level was 72 percent in 2007. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2005 (73 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 2002 (77 percent).

Percentages at NAEP Achievement Levels and Average Score



NOTE: The NAEP grade 8 reading achievement levels correspond to the following scale points: Below Basic, 242 or lower; Basic, 243–280; Proficient, 281–322; Advanced, 323 or above.


Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Michigan: 2007

Reporting groups	Percent of students	Average score	Percent below Basic	Percent of students at or above		Percent Advanced
				Basic	Proficient	
Male	50	255	33	67	23	1
Female	50	266	23	77	34	3
White	75	267	20	80	34	2
Black	19	236	56	44	7	#
Hispanic	3	241	48	52	14	#
Asian/Pacific Islander	2	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	‡	‡	‡	‡	‡
Eligible for National School Lunch Program	32	244	47	53	12	#
Not eligible for National School Lunch Program	68	268	19	81	36	3

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2007, male students in Michigan had an average score that was lower than that of female students by 11 points. In 2002, the average score for male students was lower than that of female students by 11 points.
- In 2007, Black students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 31 points. In 2002, the average score for Black students was lower than that of White students by 28 points.
- In 2007, Hispanic students had an average score that was lower than that of White students by 26 points. Data are not reported for Hispanic students in 2002, because reporting standards were not met.
- In 2007, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, a proxy for poverty, had an average score that was lower than that of students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch by 25 points. This performance gap was wider than that of 2002 (13 points).
- In 2007, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 44 points. In 2002, the score gap between students at the 75th percentile and students at the 25th percentile was 43 points.

Reading Scores at Selected Percentiles



NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP reading scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

* Significantly different from 2007.

↑ Significantly higher than 2005. ↓ Significantly lower than 2005.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/narrower/wider/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Comparisons across jurisdictions and comparisons with the nation or within a jurisdiction across years may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL). The exclusion rates for SD and ELL in Michigan were 6 percent and "percentage rounds to zero" in 2007, respectively. For more information on NAEP significance testing see <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/reading/interpret-results.asp#statistical>.

² "Jurisdictions" refers to states and the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Education Activity schools.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free and reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed. Visit <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/> for additional results and detailed information.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2002–2007 Reading Assessments.